UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/518,664	12/17/2004	Akira Usami	52433/783	7856
26646 KENYON & K	7590 12/03/200 ENYON LLP	8	EXAMINER	
ONE BROADV	VAY		YEE, DEBORAH	
NEW YORK, NY 10004			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1793	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			12/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/518,664	USAMI ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Deborah Yee	1793				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	dress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10/14	//08.					
·= · ·						
· =						
closed in accordance with the practice under E						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 18-27</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.					
,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 18-27</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers	·					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>17 December 2004</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of 	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage			
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary					
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/518,664 Page 2

Art Unit: 1793

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1 to 9 and 18 to 27 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Japanese patent 2002-12940 ("JP-940") alone or in view of US Patent 3,733,195("US-195") or US Patent 4,407,681 ("US-681") for the reasons set forth in the previous office action dated June 9, 2008.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments filed October 14, 2008 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 4. It was argued that when using steel as crude oil tank, JP-940 steel requires primer coating in addition to coating for preventing rusting whereas present invention steel is used in naked state. Applicant further submitted that there is no disclosure or suggestion concerning the present invention concept of lowering local corrosion rate by the addition of Mo in Fe-Cu-Mo steel as shown in Figure 1 of the instant specification. JP-940 also does not disclose or suggest adding W to lower local corrosion rate with the addition of Mo- in Fe-Cu-Mo steel; and contains Cr at more than 0.2 % whereas Cr in present invention is avoided and limited to less than 0.1%.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,664 Page 3

Art Unit: 1793

5. Contrary to Applicant's argument, it is the Examiner's position that present invention requires inventive steel when used as a crude oil tank to have one or more layers of protective coating to prevent corrosion, see item (17) on page 26 of instant specification. Hence claimed steel would be similar to JP-940.

- 6. In addition, JP-940 steel has a composition with constituents whose wt% ranges overlap those recited by the claims; and such overlap establishes a prima facie case of obviousness. More specifically, prior art steel 32 in table 2 on page 7 meets the composition recited by claim 1 except for 0.22% Mo which is slightly higher than the claimed Mo range of 0.01 to 0.2%. Since Applicant has not demonstrated criticality of the Mo range (e.g. by comparative test data), then a composition with 0.2% Mo verses a composition with slightly more Mo (say 0.22%) would depict a mere difference in the proportion of element without any attendant unexpected results which would not patentably distinguish claims over prior art. Applicant test data shown in Figure 1 is ineffective because it does not show a patentable distinction between 0.2% Mo and 0.22% Mo
- 7. It was pointed out that JP-940 steel does not contain W but such element is optional and not required by Applicant's claims. In addition, W is a common additive for analogous corrosion resistant crude oil tank steel to improve mechanical properties, as evident by US-681, lines 61 to 68 in column 3 and lines 53 to 65 in column 4; and hence would be a matter of choice well within the skill of the artisan to incorporate.
- Also JP-940 teaches Cr as optional and therefore can be omitted and meet Cr
 recited by Applicant's dependent claim 4.

Application/Control Number: 10/518,664 Page 4

Art Unit: 1793

9. In regard to Applicant's arguments to US-195 and US-681, they are merely secondary teachings to shown that Sb, Sn, Pb, As, Bi, Mg, Ca, Y, La and/or Ce in small amounts are conventionally added to analogous steel for crude oil tank fabrication to further enhance corrosion resistant properties and therefore would be well within the skill of the artisan to incorporate to JP-940 steel.

10. To distinguish claims over prior art, it is recommended to amend claim 1 to require the presence of 0.01 to 0.1% Mo. Applicant's more narrowly claimed Mo range is critical to produce new and unexpected results with regard to local corrosion resistance as shown in figure 1 which is not taught or suggested by the art of record. Applicant has discovered that when 0.01 to 0.1% Mo is added to steel containing not less than 0.1% Cu, the rate of progress of local corrosion is remarkably decrease to not more than 1/5 that of ordinary steel and when more than 0.1% Mo is added to a steel containing not less than 0.1% Cu, the effect of Mo on suppressing the rate of progress of local corrosion decreases.

Conclusion

11. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 1793

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah Yee whose telephone number is 571-272-1253. The examiner can normally be reached on monday-friday 6:00 am-2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1244. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Deborah Yee/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1793